





Policy Paper # 1

Contributing to #Building Back Better

1. Introduction

As countries around the world are working towards building a better future with wide environmental, economic and social collapses, including due to the current COVID19 pandemic, time has come for exploring options for designing a new development plan to build our future better.

Though several approaches are available and are being used to secure our collective future that provides for intergenerational opportunities to live a healthy and inclusive life, there is a need to put the principles of sustainable development at the center of such approaches and ensure the future of development is embedded in better governance systems, at all levels, that are responsible and responsive.

For example, the European Union in 2019 adopted the European Green Deal (EGD)¹ to reset the commitment to tackling climate and environmental-related challenges and aims to transform the region into a fair and prosperous society, with a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy and to protect, conserve and enhance the natural capital, and protect the health and well-being of citizens from environment-related risks and impacts. At the same time, it calls for this transition be just and inclusive. The Deal comes with an action plan, the Just Transition Mechanism and the Recovery and Resilience Facility to help countries by mobilizing more than \in 700 billion between 2021- 2027²,³. The Deal focuses on boosting the efficient use of resources by moving to a clean, circular economy and restores biodiversity and cut pollution, supported by an ambition to become climate neutral by 2050⁴.

This brief provides some entry points, through the Africa, Caribbean and the Pacific (ACP) Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAs) programme, third phase (ACP MEAs 3), to embark on exploring options for providing inputs to countries in the regions that are embarking on designing such new development and/or recovery plans.

mechanism_en

¹ <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1596443911913&uri=CELEX:52019DC0640#document2</u> ² <u>https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal/actions-being-taken-eu/just-transition-</u>

³ https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/recovery-coronavirus/recovery-and-resilience-facility_en

⁴ <u>https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-2019-2024/european-green-deal_en</u>

2. Environmental Governance

Governing our planet's rich and diverse natural resources is an increasingly complex challenge. Current and emerging challenges of sustainable development, including the current COVID19 pandemic indicate our globalized world of interconnected nations, economies and people. Managing environmental threats, particularly those that cross political borders such as climate change, air pollution, chemicals such as mercury and biodiversity loss will require new global, regional, national and local responses involving a wide range of stakeholders⁵.

Environmental governance is critical for finding solutions to these challenges. Environmental Governance comprises the rules, practices, policies and institutions that shape how humans interact with the environment. Good environmental governance takes into account the role of all actors that impact the environment. From governments to NGOs, the private sector and civil society, cooperation is critical to achieving effective environmental governance that can help us move towards a more sustainable future.

The UNEP Medium Term Strategy (2022-2025) calls for strengthening the legal architecture for strengthening environmental governance⁶. Ensuring compliance to environmental laws and regulations has been identified as critical to achieving better environmental governance. Support to countries such as those in Africa, Caribbean and the Pacific are critical to ensuring building peace and resilience in dealing with environmental challenges.

As countries re-align their economies and priorities for development, the new development plans need to look at the following three areas for enhancing better environmental governance.

- Review and adoption of legal and related measures to deal with issues of nature conservation, monitoring and managing pollution and safe handling of chemicals and waste;
- b. Develop guidelines and toolkits necessary for stakeholder engagement in implementing the legal and regulatory measures, and
- c. Comply with provisions of rule of law in supporting partnerships, both vertically and horizontally that supports future environmental management that is responsive and inclusive.

⁵https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/7935/Environmental_Governance.pdf?sequence=5&isAllowed=y
⁶https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/33792/Agenda%20Item%205%20MTS%20151%20CPR%20v3%20%28/20%28/20%28/20%28/20%20%28/20%

3. Role of Multilateral Environmental Agreements

Multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) form an overarching international and/or regional legal basis for our efforts to deal with environmental issues that are transboundary in nature. These conventions and agreements complement national legislation and bilateral or regional agreements.

The role of multilateral environmental agreements in achieving sustainable development has long been recognized⁷. Paragraph 89 of the outcome document of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, entitled "The future we want", Member States recognized the significant contributions of the multilateral environmental agreements to sustainable development⁸.

The MEAs offer access to worldwide knowledge, tools and financial resources, and they can give conservation agencies a stronger mandate at local and national levels. However, the threats they address and the solutions they outline are to be evaluated against the priorities of social and economic issues. This entails linkages among different problems and sectors at various scales. Regional and ecosystem-level approaches are most appropriate for sorting out linkages and priorities. Extensive capacity building is needed at these levels to foster the requisite skills for integrated approaches. In addition, new mechanisms may be required at these levels to coordinate diverse specialized regimes⁹.

Countries can focus on developing elements of a new development plan considering the following.

- a. Synergistic implementation of the biodiversity and chemical cluster MEAs, among themselves and across;
- b. Enhanced commitments to realizing the objectives of the MEAs that are measurable and impactful, and
- c. Strengthening institutional and individual capacities of various stakeholder groups including youth and women to deal with current and emerging issues on linking environmental management and sustainable development.

⁷UNEP (2016) Enhancing cooperation among the seven biodiversity related agreements and conventions at the national level using national biodiversity strategies and action plans. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Nairobi, Kenya ⁸<u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/futurewewant.html</u>
⁹<u>https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/oryx/article/global-governance-for-the-environment-and-the-role-of-multilateral-</u>

<u>https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/oryx/article/global-governance-tor-the-environment-and-the-role-of-multilateralenvironmental-agreements-in-conservation/878E480273BE8BA5A248898021F7D2E8</u>

4. Ocean Governance

One of the key and emerging issues for the Africa, Caribbean and Pacific region countries is to ensure appropriate measures to deal with ocean governance. Ocean governance includes the processes, agreements, rules and institutions developed to organize, regulate and manage the way in which humans use the ocean and its resources. Considering that oceans includes High Seas and Biodiversity Beyond National Jurisdiction (BBNJ), there is a need for differential approach to deal with ocean governance by countries where a combination of national, regional and global processes and regulations are needed to sustainably manage the ocean and coastal resources. These resources range from coastal fisheries to the prospecting of deep seabed genetic resources.

The process of ocean governance should be integrated horizontally because it requires the participation of governmental institutions, the private sector, NGOs, local and civil society organizations, academics, scientists, etc., as well as vertically across all of levels of governance within an integrated system with reciprocal collaboration and coordination.

According to the United Nations¹⁰, while there is general agreement in international policy that an ecosystem approach is needed to improve ocean governance, its application in practice is still limited. This is due in large part to the considerable practical difficulties of implementation, including the availability of suitable information and lack of analytical and scientific tools to support the process. Many different ecosystem approaches exist and include those used by the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, which are highly compatible. In practice, some of the most widely implemented ecosystem approaches include integrated coastal zone management, sometimes referred to as integrated coastal area management, and integrated water resources management, sometimes expressed as river basin management. In addition, many indigenous management and are thus also an expression of an ecosystem approach.

Sustainable Development Goal 14, with its comprehensive set of targets, provides an opportunity to bring ocean governance to the forefront of the global dialogue on sustainable development.

¹⁰ https://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/global-marine-governance-and-oceans-management-achievement-sdg-14

It may be prudent for countries to focus on the following, when strengthening existing plans and/or developing new development plans.

- a. Developing national, sub-regional and regional action plans on ocean governance and management that aligns with emerging local and global priorities, including discussions through the biodiversity beyond national jurisdiction (BBNJ) framework
- Develop options for building robust blue economy options-based actions that are in support of local and national priorities and development needs, carefully considering issues of ocean mining, managing biodiversity, and
- c. Address ways to mainstream issues across sectors such as fisheries and ensure management is predictable and prgressive

5. Supporting Development Plans through ACP MEAs 3 Programme

In reslizing the above ideas, the ACP MEAs 3 programme plans to work with three regional hubs, viz. African Union Commission (AUC), the Caribbean Community (CARICOM) and the Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP), four regional seas conventions, namely the Abidjan Convention, Nairobi Convention, the Cartagena Convention and the Noumea Convention and a number of MEAs, including those focusing on biodiversity issues such as the Convention on Biological Diversity, Convention on Migratory Species, the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species and those on chemicals issues such as the Bsael, Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions, the Minamata Convention, the Waigani Convention and those related to pollution such as the Bamako Convention to focus on the following:

- (i) Supporting design of transformative policies and action plans on MEAs
- (ii) and ocean governance;
- (iii) Preserving and sustaining ecosystems and biodiversity;
- (iv) Minimizing negative impacts of pollution, and
- (v) Fostering innovation in mainstreaming issues and approaches related to environment and the SDGs.

The following table provides some entry points, from the ongoing ACP MEAs 3 programme, for designing such new development plan.

Priority issue under a		
New Development Plan	ACP MEAs 3 Activity(ies)	Envisaged Impact
Developing strategies and	Promote legal frameworks to support	This result will
plans that will need to	shared responsibility and cooperative	promote the
reflect an increased level	efforts among the participating	implementation of
of ambition to reduce	countries in the international trade of	previously agreed
significantly the use and	hazardous waste, chemicals, including	upon legal and
risk of chemical	mercury and pesticides to protect	institutional
pesticides, as well as the	human health from their potential	frameworks related
use of fertilisers and	harm;	to chemicals,
antibiotics.	Reduction of the influx of waste	including mercury
	(plastics and other forms of human and	and wastes.
	industrial liquid and solid waste)	
	entering the marine environment of the	
	four regions.	
Supporting actions for	Loss of biodiversity halted; greener	Both terrestrial and
designating, restoring and	growth and more circular economies;	marine ecosystems
managing ecosystems	human health and the environment	are better
that provide essential	more protected from hazardous	desingated, restored
services such as food,	substances, including mercury and	and managed, using
fresh water and clean air,	more transparency and efficient	the MEAs and
and shelter.	management of natural resources;	related instruments
	Providing strategic inputs and advice	as guide posts.
	to the new Post 2020 global	
	biodiversity framework and enhancing	
	synergies between conservation,	
	chemicals and waste management as	
	well as pollution.	
Adopting a global	Enhanced capacity, processes and	Countries are
framework to halt	infrastructure to enforce and comply	providing inputs to
biodiversity loss (the CBD	with selected Multilateral	finalizing the new
COP 15 new global	Environmental Agreements related to	Global Biodiversity
biodiversity framework).	biodiversity;	Framework and
		work on developing

	Improved national frameworks,	national plans for
	•	
	legislations and mechanisms for the	subsequent
	effective implementation of MEAs	implementation with
	related to biodiversity;	full participation of
	Organizing communication and	all relevant
	outreach programmes, including	stakeholders.
	targeting politicians.	
Developing sustainable	Enhance the overall Governance	Enhanced planning
'blue economy' models,	Framework of regional seas	and rolling out of
strategies and action	conventions with focus on supporting	national and/or
plans will have to play a	blue economy approaches.	regional blue
central role in alleviating		economy strategies
the multiple demands fo		and provision of
resource use, securing		inputs to governing
local livelihoods and		bodies of relevant
strengthening national		conventions and
economies.		processes, incuding
		those related to
		ABNJ/BBNJ.
Engage with the private	Effective communications, outreach	Enhanced
sector to enhance	and awareness-raising for increased	engagement of
sustainable business	stakeholder engagement, including	diverse stakeholder
practices.	political will among decision-makers;	groups in setting
	Organization of specific programmes	targets and
	targeting private sector related to key	implementing the
	MEAs and convention processes.	same.
Ensure support to new	Promote the exchange of information,	Inter-regional
technologies, including on	technologies through South-South	collaboration
data management,	cooperation, including among regional	enhanced.
sustainable solutions and	institutions.	
disruptive innovations.		
Invest in education and	Provide targeted strategic	Participation and
skill development that	communication and awareness raising	engagement
supports experiential	activities to engage with diverse	enhanced for
learning and life-long	stakeholder groups.	effective compliance
learning, using modern		to and
isaming, asing modern		

and traditional approaches		implemementation of
and knowledge systems.		MEAs, conventions
		and related
		processes.
Strengthen pro-active re-	Support South-South exchanges and	Skill development for
skilling and upskilling to	learning.	ecological transition
reap the benefits of the		is enhanced by
ecological transition.		better inter-regional
		cooperation on key
		issues and actions.
Invite stakeholders to use	Focus on legal and institutional	Enhanced
the available platforms to	reviews and suport exchange of	engagement of all
simplify legal and	experiences on key topics related to	relevanstakeholders.
regulatory framewroks that	enhanced management of	
deliver and respond to	biodiversity, chemicals, wastes and	
emerging needs of	pollution.	
sustainable development.		

6. Conclusions

The current project, in support of countries from Africa, the Caribbean and the Pacific, is in its third phase with focus on increasing enforcement of and compliance with key MEAs and support better ocean governance. The project, as elaborated in the table above, aims to further strengthen the influence, through its actions, to build an inclusive agenda that aims to re-look at environmental management and sustainable development that is responsive and specific.

Actions in support of implementing the project will focus on enhancing the rationale of designing a new development plans besides supporting the actions to further strengthen country collaborations.

About ACP MEAs 3 Programme

The ACP MEAs programme is a partnership between the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the European Union, the Organization of Africa, Caribbean and the Pacific States (OACPS), and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). It aims to build the capacity of 79 countries in Africa, the Caribbean, and the Pacific to fulfill their obligations to multilateral environmental agreements. In the last decade, the programme has helped states to fully engage in the implementation of environmental accords. Currently, the third phase of the Programme is under implementation (2020-2024).

This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views, policies or official opinion of the European Commission, UNEP or partner organizations.

Author

Dr. Balakrishna Pisupati Programme Manager ACP MEAs 3 Programme Law Division, United Nations Environment Programme Nairobi, Kenya Email: <u>Balakrishna.pisupati@un.org</u>